THIS OPINION WAS NOT WRITTEN FOR PUBLICATION

The opinion in support of the decision being entered today (1) was **not** written for publication in a law journal and (2) is **not** binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No.46

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte SEAN HANDEL, BRIAN DAY and MIYA YUEN

Appeal No.2003-1839 Application No.09/195,852 JAN 2 9 2004

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS

AND INTERFERENCES

ORDER REMANDING TO EXAMINER

An Information Disclosure Statement was filed on January 5, 2004 (Paper No. 45). The application is being returned to the examiner for consideration of the statement submitted and notification to the applicants to indicate if their submission meets the criteria as forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.97 and 1.98.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is remanded to the Examiner for such consideration of the Information Disclosure Statement and for such further action as may be appropriate.

It is important that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences be informed promptly of any action affecting the status of the appeal (i.e., abandonment, issue, reopening prosecution).

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Bv:

DALE M. SHAW

Program and Resource Administrator

 $(70\overline{3})$ 308-9797

Appeal No. 2003-1839 Application No. 09/195,852 Page 3

OPPENHEIMER WOLFF & DONNELLY, LLP (ACCENTURE)
PLAZA VII, SUITE 3300
45 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET
MINNEAPOLIS MN 55402-1609